

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

**CRM-M-13724 of 2015
Date of decision : 13.07.2015**

Sarbati

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DARSHAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Sanjay Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S.Doon, AAG, Haryana.

DARSHAN SINGH,J(Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed by petitioner Sarbati, the mother-in-law of the complainant under Section 438 Cr.P.C for grant of the anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 539 dated 30.10.0213, under Sections 498-A, 406, 506 of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Sector-5, Gurgaon.

2. On 30.04.2015, the following order was passed:-
“This petition has been filed by petitioner-Sarbati who is the mother-in-law of the complainant for

grant of anticipatory bail. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that in-fact the present case is a counter blast of case FIR No. 194 dated 26.04.2013, under Sections 302, 323, 506, 201, 452, 379, 120-B, 34 of Indian Penal Code and 25-54-59 of Arms Act, Police Station Sector-5, Gurgaon. In that case the son of the petitioner was murdered and the complainant and her family members have been arrayed as accused in that case. Notice of motion for 13.07.2015. In the meantime, in the event of arrest of the petitioner, she will be admitted to the interim anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer on the conditions that she will join the investigation as and when required by the Investigating Officer; that she will not directly or indirectly tamper with the prosecution evidence and that she will not leave India without the prior permission of the Court.”

3. Learned State counsel on the instructions of ASI Babu Lal, Police Station Sector-5, Gurgaon states that the petitioner has already joined the investigation. She has been interrogated sufficiently and no recovery is to be effected from the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner also states that husband of the petitioner i.e. father-in-law has already been admitted to regular bail.

5. As the petitioner has already joined the

CRM-M-13724 of 2015 3

investigation and is not required for any custodial interrogation, the present petition is hereby allowed and the order of interim anticipatory bail dated 30.04.2015 is hereby made absolute subject to the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Code of Criminal Procedure,1973.

13.07.2015

s.khan

**(DARSHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**