

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-13648 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision:- July 16, 2015

Saroj Taluja

.....Petitioner..

Vs.

State of Haryana

....Respondent..

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present:- Mr. Ashwani Talwar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Punjab with
Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate,
for the complainant.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (Oral)

Instant petition has been moved under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure by Saroj Taluja, seeking pre-arrest bail, feeling apprehension of her arrest, in case FIR No. 656, dated 01.08.2011, under Sections 384, 120-B, 195-A, 420, 467, 468, 471, 376(2) (G) IPC as well as under Sections 7/13/49 of the Prevention of Corruption Act and under Sections 3/4/5 of Immoral Traffic (Prevention) Act, 1956, registered at Police Station Chandni Bagh, Panipat.

It is an undisputed fact that petitioner was found innocent during investigation of this case and while presentation of report

under Section 173 (2) Cr.P.C., she was not challaned and her name was placed in column No.2. Subsequent thereto, an application was moved under Section 319 Cr.P.C. and petitioner has been summoned to face trial as an additional accused along with her co-accused.

In compliance of order passed by this Court on May 05, 2015, petitioner has already appeared before Id. trial court and has joined the proceedings.

In view of the given circumstances, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not at all required.

During the course of arguments, learned State counsel has argued with vehemence that allegations against the petitioner are serious and grave in nature. In fact, entire case of prosecution revolves around her.

Taking into consideration the above facts of the case, interim order dated May 05, 2015, is made absolute till the disposal of the trial but subject to the conditions, as envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C. and that she shall surrender the passport, if any, before the trial court, within 10 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this court.

However, it is made clear that if she indulge in any other case during the pendency of trial, Id. trial court shall be competent to cancel this order as well.

July 16, 2015
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE