

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.

Regular Second Appeal No.625 of 1990
Date of Decision: 26.8.2015

Basakha Singh and others Appellants

versus

Tej Ram and another Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIVE BHALLA

Present: Mr. S.K.Singla, Advocate, for the appellants.

None for respondent no.1.

Mr. Karan Malik, Advocate,
for respondent no.2.

RAJIVE BHALLA, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the appellants states that he was informed by the appellants about 08 days ago that the matter has been settled but states that he is not in a position to make a positive statement and, therefore, he has no instructions to address arguments.

Counsel for contesting respondent no.1 is not present.

Counsel for respondent no.2, who is proforma respondent, is present but states that he has no information in the matter.

In view of the statement made by counsel for the appellants, the appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution. In case the dispute survives, parties would be at liberty to seek revival of the appeal.

26.8.2015
VK

(RAJIVE BHALLA)
JUDGE