

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-13604 of 2015
Date of decision : 29.04.2015**

Peter Masih

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DARSHAN SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. DK Bhatti, Advocate for petitioner.

DARSHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed by the petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.15 dated 02.02.2015 under Sections 466, 471, 167 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (here-in-after called 'IPC'), Police Station Navi Biradari, Distt. Jalandhar.

As per the prosecution version, the present petitioner was confined in jail in case FIR No.497 of 2000 under Sections 406 and 420 IPC, Police Station Sadar, Jalandhar. His co-accused Gurmukh Singh had furnished the surety bond accompanied with a fake copy of Jamabandi before the Court. At the instance of the learned Additional

Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jalandhar, the present case has been registered.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has nothing to do with furnishing of the fake documents in the Court, as at that time he was confined in jail. It was Gurmukh Singh, who had furnished the bail bonds and the documents before the Court. He further contended that FIR No.497 of 2000, in which the petitioner was in custody, has already been quashed by this Court. He contended that the case is based on the documents. The petitioner is ready to join the investigation. So, he deserves the concession of anticipatory bail.

I have duly considered the aforesaid contentions.

The present case has been registered at the instance of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jalandhar. Petitioner Peter Masih was confined in jail in case FIR No.497 of 2000. He was ordered to be released on bail in that case and in order to get him released, his co-accused Gurmukh Singh furnished the bail bonds supported by the fake copy of the Jamabandi. The present petitioner only was the beneficial of the said act. The fake documents have been produced in the judicial proceedings before the Court of law. So, it is not a case where the extraordinary privilege of the anticipatory bail can be granted to the petitioner. Consequently, the present petition has no merits and the same is hereby dismissed.

29.04.2014

sunil yadav

(DARSHAN SINGH)
JUDGE