

232

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.R.M-M-13552-2015

Date of Decision : 30.11.2015

Balwant Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

U.T. Chandigarh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Petitioner present in person.

Mr. A.S. Virk, Addl. P.P., U.T., Chandigarh.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Sharma, Advocate
for respondents No.6 & 8.

Mr. B.R. Gupta, Advocate
for respondents No.9 & 10.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

In this case the petitioner has claimed that the police is repeatedly summoning him in a case in which his daughter-in-law has levelled allegations against his son.

Learned counsel appearing for U.T. Chandigarh states that though the petitioner has been involved in several other cases yet he has never been summoned in this case nor they want him in this case.

In view of the statement made by the learned Standing Counsel

for the U.T. Chandigarh, this petition stands disposed of.

Since the main application has been decided, the pending Criminal Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

November 30, 2015

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**