

DEEPA SAINI AND ANOTHER

..... Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Jitender Singh Dadwal, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

**RAJ MOHAN SINGH J. (ORAL)**

Petitioners have filed this petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty from the hands of respondents No.4 to 6.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that they are major and the marriage of the petitioners was solemnized on 23.04.2015. Photographs of marriage ceremony has been annexed as Annexure P-3. He has further submitted that the petitioners have moved a representation dated 23.04.2015 to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur (Annexure P-4) but no action has been taken so far.

Without commenting upon validity of marriage of the petitioners, it would be just and appropriate to refer their representation for consideration of respondent No.2. The petitioners are alleged to have solemnized marriage on 23.04.2015 at Prachin Shiv Mandir, MDC, Panchkula as per Hindu Rites and ceremonies. The petitioners have produced few photographs of marriage ceremony in the aforesaid context.

At this stage without dilating upon the issue of validity of marriage, respondent No.2 would be obligated to consider the grievance of the petitioners and if need be pass appropriate order in respect of their protection in terms of their lives, liberty and property, if they are not involved in any other activity.

Petition stands disposed of in the above said terms.

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

27.04.2015  
*rittu*