

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.4000 of 1997(O&M)
Date of decision: 17.07.2015

Narinder Kaur and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Narinder Pal Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Nilesh Bhardwaj, DAG, Punjab.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that in an additional affidavit filed by Deputy Director, Department of Social Security and Development of Women and Children, Punjab, dated 15.12.2014, it is maintained that the petitioners were not found eligible for selection as Supervisors, as per the revised merit list submitted along with the report of Sh. Satish Chandra Committee. All what is contended is that let the respondents furnish a copy of the revised merit list and the report of the said Committee vide which they were found ineligible.

Learned State counsel submits that a copy of the report along with the revised merit list shall be served/furnished to the petitioners within a week from today.

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that nothing substantive survives in this petition and thus, the same be disposed of as having become infructuous.

Ordered, accordingly.

July 17, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge