

**115 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-13318 of 2015
Date of Decision:27.04.2015**

TULAMANTI AND ANOTHER

..... Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Ankit Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

RAJ MOHAN SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioners have filed this petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty from the hands of respondents No.4 to 9.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that they are major and the marriage of the petitioners was solemnized on 23.04.2015. Certificate in this regard issued by Shri Bhramari Devi Jyotish Anusandhan Kender has been annexed as (Annexure P-1) along with photographs of marriage ceremony as (Annexure P-2). He has further submitted that the petitioners have moved a representation dated 23.04.2015 to the Superintendent of Police, Karnal (Annexure P-5) but no action has been taken so far.

Without commenting upon validity of marriage of the petitioners, it would be just and appropriate to refer their representation for consideration of respondent No.2. The petitioners are alleged to have solemnized marriage on 23.04.2015 at Shri Bharmari Devi Jyotish Anusandhan Kender No.222,

Mansa Devi Marker, Sector-4, Panchkula as per Hindu Rights and ceremonies. The petitioners have also produced few photographs of marriage ceremony in the aforesaid context.

At this stage without dilating upon the issue of validity of marriage, respondent No.2 would be obligated to consider the grievance of the petitioners and if need be pass appropriate order in respect of their protection in terms of their lives, liberty and property, if they are not involved in any other activity.

Petition stands disposed of in the above said terms.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

27.04.2015

rittu