

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

Crl.Misc. No.M-17802 of 2011
Date of Decision : 11.12.2015

Jagjit Singh and othersPetitioners

Vs.

Bachan SinghRespondent

...

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

...

Present : None for the petitioners.
Mr. Tarundeep Kumar, Advocate for Mr. D.S. Pheruman, Advocate
for respondent no.1.

...

1. To be referred to the Reporter or not ?
2. Whether the judgement should be reported in Digest ?

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J

Petitioners, by way of instant petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure ('Cr.P.C.' for short) seek quashing of the impugned complaint (Annexure P-1) and also the summoning order (Annexure P-2), however, without availing their equally, efficacious alternative remedy of revision against the impugned summoning order.

In view of the above, petitioners are relegated to their equally efficacious, alternative remedy of revision against the impugned summoning order at the first instance, however, with liberty to the petitioners to approach this court again, if necessity arises. It is also made clear that if the petitioners file an appropriate revision petition against the impugned summoning order within a period of six weeks from today, respondent-complainant shall not raise the issue

of limitation.

Disposed of, accordingly.

11.12.2015
GS

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE