

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH.**

**CRM-M-13140 of 2015**

Date of decision: 27.04.2015

**Jaswinder Singh**

**-----Petitioner (s)**

V/s

**State of Punjab & others**

**-----Respondent(s)**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA**

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. R.K. Gupta, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*

**HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)**

Prayer in this petition is for issuance of directions to the respondent-State to entrust the investigation to Crime Branch, Punjab, to investigate the case expeditiously, submit charge sheet within stipulated period, to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and his witnesses.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that there is pressure upon the petitioner-complainant and his witnesses to enter into a compromise, so that they may not pursue the case. He further states that instead of protecting the complainant and his witnesses, respondents no.4 and 5 are killing the case and are acting at the behest of the accused party who are powerful persons and have bribed the police officials.

The available record shows that in the present case, FIR No.54 under Sections 307/34 IPC and 25/27 Arms Act was registered at P.S. Sadar Jagraon, District Ludhiana Rural on 20.3.2015 and issuance of any unwarranted direction may prejudice the investigation proceedings. The prayer made in the present petition, including the request for initiation of action under the Scheduled Caste and the Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, particularly when no such case is registered under that Act, is innocuous.

We may refer to judgment of Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Sakiri Vasu v. State of UP & ors.** 2008(1) RCR (Criminal) 392, wherein reliance was placed in the case of **CBI and another v. Rajesh Gandhi and another** 1997 Cr.L.J. 63 (SC) holding that no one can insist that offence be investigated by a particular agency. Since the case was registered only on 20.3.2015 and the same is being investigated by the police, no interference is warranted at this stage.

Accordingly, I find no merit in this petition.

Dismissed.

**April 27, 2015**  
**ak**

**( HARI PAL VERMA )**  
**JUDGE**