

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

**1. Crl. Misc. No. M-1314 of 2015
Date of Decision: 20.2.2015.**

Dr. Rajvinder Pal SinghPetitioner

Versus

State of PunjabRespondent

2. Crl. Misc. No. M-42138 of 2014

Manjit SinghPetitioner

Versus

State of PunjabRespondent

3. Crl. Misc. No. M-43555 of 2014

Rakesh KumarPetitioner

Versus

State of PunjabRespondent

4. Crl. Misc. No. M-1201 of 2015

Jagdeep SinghPetitioner

Versus

State of PunjabRespondent

5. Crl. Misc. No. M-1259 of 2015

Lekh RajPetitioner

Versus

State of PunjabRespondent

6. Crl. Misc. No. M-1551 of 2015

Charanjit SinghPetitioner

Versus

State of PunjabRespondent

7. Crl. Misc. No. M-2469 of 2015

Ashwani KumarPetitioner

Versus

State of PunjabRespondent

8. Crl. Misc. No. M-3160 of 2015

Gurjant SinghPetitioner

Versus

State of PunjabRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. Vipul Jindal, Advocate,

Mr. Vikas Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Vivek Salathia, Advocate

Mr. S.S.Gill, Advocate,

Mr. A.S.Barnala, Advocate,

Mr. B.S.Sohal, Advocate,

Mr. S.P.Singh, Advocate for
Mr. S.S.Sarwara, Advocate,

Mr. Amaninder Preet, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. V.P.S.Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

SABINA, J.

Vide this order, above mentioned eight petitions would be disposed of as the petitioners have sought regular bail in FIR No. 14 dated 11.11.2013 under Section 420, 467, 468, 471, 218,

201, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 7, 13(1) (d) read with Section 13(2) and Section 8, 12 of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988, registered at Police Station Vigilance Bureau, Phase-I, Sahibzada Ajit Singh Nagar (Mohali).

Heard.

As per the prosecution case, petitioners in connivance with each other, had prepared false reports qua samples sent for analysis with a view to help the accused. All the petitioners, except petitioner Lekh Raj, are in custody for the last more than one year. So far as petitioner Lekh Raj is concerned, he is in custody since 12.5.2014. Challan has already been presented in the Court and charges have been framed against the petitioners. 09 witnesses have already been examined. Prosecution has to examine 101 more witnesses. Thus, conclusion of trial may take time. In some of the cases, reports allegedly prepared by the petitioners in connivance with the accused, have been upheld by this Court as well as the Hon'ble Apex Court.

Keeping in view the custody period of the petitioners, it would be just and expedient to order the release of the petitioners on bail.

Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, all these petition are allowed. Petitioners be admitted to bail subject to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sahibzada Ajit Singh Nagar (Mohali).

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

February 20, 2015
Gurpreet