

**CRM-M-13088-2015**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-13088-2015  
Date of Decision:14.07.2015**

Vijay Kumar and another

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH**

Present: Mr. Namit Gautam, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

Mr. K.S.Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate,  
for respondent No.2.

**Paramjeet Singh, J. (Oral)**

Instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in case FIR No.33 dated 29.03.2015, registered at Police Station Dhariwal, District Gurdaspur, under Sections 498-A, 406, 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Vide order dated 24.04.2015, this Court directed that in the event of arrest, the petitioners would be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer in connection with above-said case FIR.

On instructions from the investigating officer, learned State

**CRM-M-13088-2015**

counsel informs that the petitioners have joined the investigation, however, recovery of some household articles are yet to be effected.

There are no specific allegations against petitioner No.1-Vijay Kumar.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, order dated 24.04.2015 passed by this Court is made absolute qua petitioner No.1 only.

There are specific allegations against petitioner No.2-Asha Rani that complainant was harassed by petitioner No.2 for dowry and Rs.2 lacs were deposited in bank account of petitioner No.2. Otherwise also, it has been pointed out that Tarun Kumar, husband of complainant, is staying in Australia and has not even come for the purpose of mediation. Keeping in view the fact that there are serious allegations against petitioner No.2 with regard to the demand of Rs.2 lacs which has been taken by way of coercion as part of dowry items and recovery of household articles are yet to be effected, no ground for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner No.2 is made out.

Dismissed qua petitioner No.2.

Disposed of.

It goes without saying that interim order dated 24.04.2015 passed by this Court qua petitioner No.2 stands vacated.

**14.07.2015**  
parveen kumar

**(Paramjeet Singh)**  
**Judge**