

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.13025 of 2015

Date of decision: 26th May, 2015

Anita Rani and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Shashikant Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Munish Sharma, Asstt. Advocate General, Haryana
for respondents No.1 to 3.

None for respondents No.4 to 10.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel submits that the petitioners have made statements before the police that the threat perception to their lives and personal liberty no more subsists.

In this view of the matter, learned counsel for the petitioners has made statement before this Court withdrawing the present petition.

The petition stands dismissed as withdrawn.

However, the petitioners shall be at liberty to invoke the jurisdiction of this Court in case any such eventuality so arises.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

May 26, 2015
rps