

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-12980 of 2015
Date of Decision: May 27, 2015**

Mithu @ Nisha and another

...Petitioners

Versus

The Union Territory of Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. R.K. Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. A.S. Sullar, Addl. P.P for U.T.

Mr. Gurpal Kaur Dulat, Advocate
for respondent No.4,5 and 6.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J.

Learned counsel for respondents No.4, 5 and 6 submits that father, mother and younger sister of the petitioner Mithu @ Nisha do not object to this marriage, however, they submit that in case paternal uncle (Taya) of the girl who is bent upon murdering the couple does anything they should not be held responsible. Though, on behalf of the petitioners it is submitted that they have been provided with adequate security by the Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh and it is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that the couple is presently residing in Protection Home, Chandigarh.

Keeping in view the same, Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh is directed to depute armed protection for the couple commensurate with the threat perception to their life and personal liberty.

Petition stands disposed off in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

May 27, 2015
aarti