

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-12978 of 2015

Date of decision: 04.05.2015

Geeta

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Prateek Pandit, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present 4th petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in case FIR No.6, dated 16.02.2012, registered under Sections 302, 120-B and 34 of the Indian Penal Code (for short, 'IPC') and Section 25 of the Arms Act, at Police Station Lambra, Jalandhar.

It is contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The deceased did not name the petitioner while making a telephonic call to his brother. The prosecution had also moved an application before the trial Court to further investigate the present case stating therein that the mother of the deceased namely Darshan Kaur had submitted an application

dated 30.05.2013 stating that one Prem Singh told her that his son Jagmohan Singh has been killed by brother in law of Geeta. The present petitioner has no role in the commission of crime.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel, informs that the petitioner is having illicit relation with the deceased. The petitioner in connivance with her paramour called the deceased and murdered him. Therefore, the petitioner is not entitled to bail.

Heard.

This is the fourth bail petition filed by the petitioner. Earlier bail petition was dismissed on merit, vide order dated 30.06.2014, by this Court. Keeping in view the serious nature of offence, the key role of the petitioner and there is no change of circumstance, no fresh ground for bail is made out.

Dismissed.

04.05.2015

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE