

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-13035-2014 (O&M)

Date of Decision: September 22, 2015

Deepak and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr.Abhishek Sethi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Kuldeep Tiwari, Addl.A.G.Haryana.

Mr.Rohit Rana, Advocate for
Mr.Kunal Dawar, Advocate
for respondent Nos.4, 8 to 11, 14, 15, 17 and 23.

.....

1. ***Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?***
2. ***To be referred to the Reporters or not ?***
3. ***Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?***

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in view of the fact that the charge-sheet (report under Section 173, Cr.P.C.) has been presented before learned Trial Court, therefore, he may be permitted to withdraw the present petition

to enable the petitioners to take recourse to the alternative remedies available to them under the law.

Learned counsel for the respondents have no objection to the above prayer.

Disposed of with the liberty aforesaid.

September 22, 2015
meenu

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE