

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-12851 of 2015 (O&M)
Decided on : 08.05.2015**

Manoj Kumar

.... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

Present:- Mr. S.K.Panwar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Himmat Singh, AAG, Haryana.

MAHESH GROVER, J.(Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.83 dated 31.01.2015 registered under Sections 406, 409 IPC (Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 454, 380, 201 & 120-B IPC were added subsequently) at Police Station Camp Palwal, District Palwal.

The FIR in this case was registered after an inquiry conducted by Sub Divisional Magistrate that certain people from the Registration Office along with few others are engaged in forging registration certificates of vehicles. The matter was investigated by the police who found that certain vehicles had their registration documents missing. It was revealed further that the vehicle in question had been sold by Automobile dealer of New Delhi to one M/s Kashi Rattan Enterprises, Indore. The vehicle met with an accident before registration. The insurance surveyor found the vehicle in completely damaged condition and scrap was taken on auction by one Gopal Krishan of Manimajra, Chandigarh for a sum of Rs.8,85,000/-. This vehicle was sold to Bharat, resident of Jhajhar, who was allegedly residing abroad and deal in sale and purchase of cars. Thereafter one

Rakesh Chopra on behalf of Devender Gill contacted Manoj Kumar for registration of vehicle i.e. Volvo car for which he received a sum of Rs.4,60,000/- but he in connivance with and with the involvement of officials of the Registration office paid only Rs.100/- as tax to procure a forged registration certificate. The original value of the car was Rs.27,85,623/- and a sum of Rs.3,26,587/- was required to be paid as tax but with the connivance of officials of the registration office only Rs.100/- was paid as tax.

In the considered view of this Court, this matter would require a sustained and deeper probe and the petitioner cannot be granted concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C.

The present petition stands dismissed.

08.05.2015
sonia

(Mahesh Grover)
Judge