

CRM-M-12844-2015

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-12844-2015

Date of Decision: 29.04.2015

Ramesh Kumar

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

- 1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?.
- 2) To be referred to the Reporters or not ?.
- 3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr.Vipin Mahajan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S.Chandumajra, DAG, Punjab.

Paramjeet Singh, J. (Oral)

Instant petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.11 dated 10.02.2015, registered at Police Station G.R.P.Pathankot, under Section 306 of the Indian Penal Code (in short, 'IPC')

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that from bare reading of FIR, no case under Section 306 of IPC is made out against the petitioner. It is only business transaction issue. Learned counsel further

CRM-M-12844-2015

contends that the petitioner had demanded money from the deceased in order to get the golden ornaments prepared, but the deceased was pressing that he should be handed over the same without payment.

Per contra, learned State counsel vehemently opposes the contentions of learned counsel for the petitioner and contends that name of the petitioner finds mention in the alleged suicide note.

I have considered the rival contentions of learned counsel for the parties.

Perusal of paper-book reveals that the petitioner has already allegedly effected compromise and obtained the affidavits of complainant and other witnesses. It appears that the petitioner has already put undue pressure upon the complainant and others for compromising the matter and obtained the affidavits. If the petitioner is released on bail, he may exert influence with the complainant and others for not deposing in the Court against him. Moreover, name of petitioner also finds mention in the alleged suicide note.

In view of above, the petitioner does not deserve the concession of bail.

Dismissed.

29.04.2015
parveen kumar

(Paramjeet Singh)
Judge