

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

C.R.M-M No.12809 of 2015
Date of Decision : 17.08.2015

M/s Mukta Industries & another

..... Petitioners

Versus

M/s Prime Cranes

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Ram Bilas Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

None for the respondent.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed against the order of the trial Court refusing permission to the petitioners to examine hand writing expert to prove a purported receipt given by the respondent-complainant. The reason given by the trial Court is that this is a device to delay the proceedings.

Learned counsel has argued that in this case the disputed cheque was of Rs.1.00 lac and the petitioners are ready to make a fixed deposit of the cheque amount plus whatever interest which this Court may deem appropriate in the name of the Court.

I find this to be a fair request.

None has entered appearance on behalf of the respondent despite service. In the circumstances, in case the petitioners make a fixed deposit in the amount of Rs.1,40,000/- in the name of the trial Court before the next date of hearing, the impugned order will be set aside and the petitioners would be granted two effective opportunities to get the signature of the respondent compared on the purported receipt with his admitted signatures on the complaint.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

17.08.2015

Pooja Sharma-I

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**