

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.12798-2015

Date of decision : 20.10.2015

Dharam Pal

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. Jai Bhagwan, Advocate and
Mr. Aseem Kataria , Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.APS Gill, AAG, Punjab.
Mr.Umesh Kumar Kanwar, Advocate for respondents No. 6
and 8.
Mr.D.S.Malwai,Advocate with
Mr. Narinder Singh, Advocate for respondent No.7.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

By this petition the petitioner had sought action against respondents No. 6 and 8 for not maintaining the record of the Panchayat during the tenure of respondent No.7 as Sarpanch. As per the reply an FIR has been lodged against respondent No.7.

Learned counsel for the petitioner vehemently argues that respondents No. 6 and 8 are also involved. Learned AAG has very fairly stated that now that FIR has been lodged, if any material surfaces against respondent No. 6 and 8 , the prosecution will take necessary action.

In this view of the matter the petition stands disposed of.

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

October 20 , 2015

sunita