

THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-12520 of 2015

Decided on : 27.05.2015

Mamta and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present : Mr. Surinder Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr.R.S.Nain, AAG, Punjab.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Hari Pal Verma, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition is for quashing of FIR No.33, dated 12.3.2014, under Sections 307, 120 and 34 IPC, registered against the petitioners at Police Station Division No.5, District Jalandhar (Annexure P/1) on the basis of compromise dated 20.03.2015, alongwith consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

On 22.04.2015, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No. 33, dated 12.03.2014, for offence under Sections 307, 120 and 34 IPC (Annexure P-1), registered at Police Station, Division No.5, District- Jalandhar, on the basis of the compromise dated 20.03.2015. (Annexure P-2).

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the alleged dispute is between daughter-in-law and mother-in-law and, therefore, it is a family dispute.

Notice of motion for 27.05.2015.

In view of the compromise, the parties are directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/Trial Court for recording their respective statements with regard to compromise/settlement on 01.05.2015.

The Illaqa Magistrate/Trial Court is directed to submit a report on or before the date fixed

containing the following information as well:-
(i) number of persons arrayed as accused in FIR.
(ii) whether any accused is proclaimed offender.
(iii) whether the compromise is genuine, voluntary,
and without any coercion or undue influence.”

In compliance of the above order passed by this Court, the Addl.District Judge, Jalandhar, has submitted a report dated 11.5.2015 vide which it is mentioned that parties have appeared before the trial Court and gave their statements without any pressure and with their free will in respect of compromise effected between them.

Learned State counsel has also admitted the factum of compromise effected between the parties. After perusing the statements of the parties and the report of Addl.District Judge, Jalandhar, learned State counsel submits that he has no objection if the impugned FIR is quashed.

Heard.

The petitioners have been booked for having committed the offence punishable under Sections 307, 120 and 34 IPC. They have now amicably effected the compromise with the complainant. The learned Addl.District Judge, Jalandhar has recorded the statements of the parties with regard to compromise and the report has also been received in this regard. Therefore, continuation of the trial arising out of the impugned FIR would be a sheer abuse of the process of law because chances of conviction are bleak.

Keeping in view the factum of the compromise effected between the private parties and the law laid down by Full Bench of this Court in the case of **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal), 1052, (P&H)**, this petition is

accepted and FIR No.33, dated 12.3.2014, under Sections 307, 120 and 34 IPC, registered against the petitioners at Police Station Division No.5, District Jalandhar (Annexure P/1) along with consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are quashed.

Petition is accordingly allowed.

[Hari Pal Verma]
Judge

27.05.2015
sd