

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-12511 of 2015 (O & M)
Date of Decision:-15.5.2015**

Sham Lal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Harsh Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, Deputy A.G., Punjab.

Mr. H.S. Randhawa, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

In compliance of order dated 5.5.2015, learned counsel for the petitioner has filed the affidavit of petitioner Sham Lal. The affidavit shows that the petitioner has settled the payments viz a viz respondent No.4 and has paid Rs.2,25,000/- and no amount is pending to be paid to respondent No.4. As regard the other respondents, the petitioner undertakes to pay the entire outstanding amount within a period of six months from the date of filing of this affidavit i.e. 15.5.2015. The affidavit of Sham Lal dated 14.5.2015 is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner will clear the outstanding amount viz a viz respondent Nos.3,5 and 6 within next six months.

In view of the affidavit so furnished and the undertaking regarding the repayment as per the time schedule, the present petition is disposed of.

However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner fails to adhere the aforesaid schedule of payment, the respondents shall be at liberty to move appropriate application in this case.

The SHO, Police Station Kotwali is directed to ensure that no unnecessary pressure is mounted on the petitioner by the respondents.

May 15, 2015
Vijay Asija

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE