

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-12489 of 2015

Date of decision :22.04.2015

Deepa and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DARSHAN SINGH

Present: Mr.S.P.Chahar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

DARSHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioners have filed this petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for issuance of directions to respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty from the hands of respondent No.4 to 6.

Both the petitioners are present in the Court. The petitioners have already submitted the representation dated 20.04.2015 to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Ambala, but no action has been taken so far.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of this Court, Mr.P.S.Sullar, Addl.AG, Haryana has accepted the notice. Copy of the paper book has been supplied to learned Addl.AG, Haryana by the learned counsel for the petitioners in the Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that marriage of the petitioners was solemnized on

13.04.2015. Copy of secondary examination certificate of petitioner no.1 has been placed on record as Annexure P-1. Copy of Voter identity card of petitioner no.2 has been placed on record as Annexure P-2. Certificate (Annexure P-3) in this regard has been issued by Prachin Shree Shiv Mandir Dera Bhotnath Sanoli Adda, Patiala. Photographs of marriage ceremony are annexed as Annexure P-4. He has further submitted that the petitioners have moved a representation to Senior Superintendent of Police, Ambala-respondent No.2 (Annexure P-5) but no action has been taken so far.

Keeping in view the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners, the present petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to Commissioner of Police, Ambala to consider the representation moved by the petitioners and take necessary action in accordance with law for the protection of life and liberty of the petitioners, if he found the threat perception to their life. It is made clear that this order has nothing to do with the question regarding the validity of the marriage between the petitioners.

22.04.2015

S.khan

(DARSHAN SINGH)
JUDGE