

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-No. 12487 of 2015

Date of decision: 22.04.2015

Anshu Sharma and another

-----Petitioner (s)

V/s

State of Haryana & others

-----Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Deepak Nayar, Advocate for the petitioners.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Mr. Vaibhav Prashar, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of respondents No. 4 and 5 and submits that they would cause no harm to the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners being major have solemnized their marriage in accordance with law. However, respondents No.4 to 7 are not accepting their marriage and are adamant to separate them from each other by resorting to illegal means. Learned counsel further submits that in view of imminent danger to the life and liberty of the petitioners, they have moved a representation dated

20.04.2015 (Annexure P-5) to the Superintendent of Police, Faridabad, i.e. respondent No.2.

In order to show his bonafide and to create confidence in the mind of the girl, learned counsel for the the petitioners, on instructions from petitioners No.2, namely, Mohit Singh, undertakes to deposit an amount of ₹ 2 lacs, in favour of petitioner No.1, by way of fixed deposit scheme, for a period of 02 years, within a period of one week from today.

Learned counsel for the petitioners undertakes to produce the evidence regarding the aforesaid deposit before the Superintendent of Police, Faridabad, within further one week.

In view of the above, without going into the validity of the marriage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.2 to take appropriate action, as warranted by law, on the representation dated 20.04.2015 (Annexure P-5) submitted by the petitioners.

In the meantime, necessary order be passed to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7.

It is made clear that in case, petitioner No.2 failed to deposit the aforesaid amount by way of fixed deposit scheme, the private respondents are at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling the present order.

April 22, 2015
Anjal

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE