

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

MUKESH KUMAR SALUJA
20.01.2015 16:15
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

**CWP No.18176-1999
Date of decision:20.01.2015**

Mrs. Renu Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Manoj Kumar Sangwan, DAG, Haryana.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the State submits that in view of the nature of controversy involved and also by efflux of time, no cause of action would survive in the present writ petition and the same may be disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

Neither anybody has come present on behalf of the petitioner nor any request for pass over has been made. It seems that in view of the statement made by learned counsel for the State, instant writ petition does not survive any further.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is ordered to be disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous. However, since nobody is present on behalf of the petitioner, liberty is granted to her to get this writ petition revived, if necessity arises.

Disposed of, accordingly.

**20.01.2015
mks**

**(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE**