

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

Crl.Misc. No.22815 of 2015 in/and
Crl.Misc.No.M-12450 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 14.8.2015

Harbhajan Singh Dhatt

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

...

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

...

Present : Mr. R.S. Bains, Advocate for the applicant-petitioner.
Mr. Gurmeet Singh, Advocate for respondents no.2 to 4.

...

1. To be referred to the Reporter or not ?
2. Whether the judgement should be reported in Digest ?

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J

Learned counsel for the applicant-petitioner submits that when this petition was filed, it was filed by Harbhajan Singh. Notice of motion was issued and parties were directed to appear before the learned trial court for getting their statements recorded. However, now the 2nd accused Joginder Lal also wants to become party to the petition.

The applicant-Joginder Lal was not party before this court when notice of motion was issued nor he was permitted by this court to appear before the learned trial court for getting his statement recorded.

In this view of the matter, instant application is dismissed.

Faced with the above said peculiar situation, present petition is

permitted to be withdrawn, with liberty to the petitioner Harbhajan Singh as well as to his co-accused Joginder Lal to file a fresh petition on the same cause of action, but with better particulars.

Disposed of, as such.

14.8.2015
GS

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE