

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-1242-2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: October 05, 2015

Neelam Rani

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

- 1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?***
- 2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?***
- 3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?***

Present: Mr.C.K.Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.K.S.Pannu, DAG, Punjab.

Mr.Gurmeet Singh, Advocate
for the informant.

.....

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Balbir Singh, Police Station, Jodhewal, District Ludhiana, very fairly concedes that the report of the Handwriting Expert has not revealed that the thumb impression on the forged rent deed was of the petitioner. He further submits that the petitioner had joined

the investigation and no more required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, interim directions issued vide order dated 14.01.2015 passed by this Court are made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 438(2), Cr.PC.

October 05, 2015
meenu

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE