

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRL. MISC. No.M-12375 OF 2014  
DATE OF DECISION : 7<sup>th</sup> MAY, 2015

**Mukesh Vashisth**

.... Petitioner

**Versus**

**State of Haryana & others**

.... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA**

\* \* \* \*

Present : Mr. Mukesh Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Baljinder Singh Virk, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

\* \* \* \*

**SURINDER GUPTA, J. (ORAL)**

Learned counsel for the State submits that on the complaint of respondent No.7 an enquiry was conducted. The allegations levelled by the petitioner in his complaint (Annexure P- 1) were also looked in to by DSP, Kanina but the same were found to be false.

In view of the submission made by learned State counsel, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition, with liberty to the petitioner to avail appropriate remedy available to him under law.

Dismissed as withdrawn, with liberty as prayed for.

**7<sup>th</sup> May, 2015**  
*'raj'*

**(SURINDER GUPTA)**  
**JUDGE**