

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.102

CWP No.2650 of 2000 (O&M)

Date of decision: 24.09.2015

Rajinder Kumar and others

....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Ravinder Malik (Ravi), Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Senior DAG, Haryana.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

C.M. No.12554-CWP of 2015

Through the present application, the applicants-petitioners seek disposal of the writ petition in view of the notification of the Government of Haryana dated 20.04.2001, Annexure A-1.

Allowed as prayed for.

CM stands disposed of.

Main Case

The petitioners have approached this Court seeking a direction to the respondents to grant them pay scale of Rs.1400-2600 w.e.f. 01.01.1986 along with consequential benefits.

The writ petition was admitted for regular hearing on 18.02.2003.

An application has been filed on behalf of the applicants-petitioners through which notification of the Government of Haryana dated 20.04.2001 has been placed on record as Annexure A-1.

Learned counsel for the petitioners prays that in view of the above notification and while relying on it, the petitioners would make a comprehensive representation to respondent No.2 who may be directed to decide the same within a specified time by passing a well-reasoned and speaking order.

I find the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners to be reasonable.

Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take a decision on the representation, if any, made by the petitioners, by passing a speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioners, preferably within four months from the date of receipt of such representation.

Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioners and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioners, they would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

September 24, 2015

Jyoti 1