

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-12190-2015

Date of decision: 16.4.2016

Sintu and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR

Present: Mr.Anil Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners

SNEH PRASHAR, J.

Petitioners duly identified by the counsel are present.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners are major and there is no legal impediment to their marriage. They have solemnized marriage. Their marriage is opposed by respondents No.4 to 6, who are the family members of petitioner No.1.

Without expressing any opinion with regard to validity of marriage and on the merits of the allegations made, the instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2, Superintendent of Police, Rohtak that in case the petitioners move an application before him seeking protection for their life and

liberty, he will look into the matter and provide necessary protection to them, if he is satisfied that the life and liberty of the petitioners is threatened at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6, in accordance with law.

16.4.2015
gsv

(SNEH PRASHAR)
JUDGE