

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

ARCHANA ARORA
16:50
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

FAO No.850 of 1994(O&M)
Date of decision : August 26, 2015

**The Executive Engineer, Chandpur Construction Division, SYL
Project, Punjab, Chandigarh**

..... Appellant

Versus

M/s Gupta Enterprises and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

**Present:- Mr. Rajesh Mehta, Additional Advocate
General, Punjab.**

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

Learned counsel for the appellant on instructions from Mr. Hans Raj, SDO, Hoshiarpur submits that no cause of action survive in the present appeal as the payment awarded by the arbitrator has been paid to the contractor. Accordingly, the appeal has been rendered infructuous and the same be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

The appeal is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

**August 26 , 2015
archana**