

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RSA No.4552 of 2003

Date of decision: 12.02.2015

Randhir Singh and others

...Appellants

Versus

Tara Chand and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Madan Pal, Advocate for the appellants.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

On 25.07.2014, learned counsel for the appellant had prayed for time to resort to necessary measures to implead the LRs of respondent No.1 and the matter was adjourned for today.

Office report shows that the necessary application in this regard has not been moved so far.

Learned counsel for the appellants submits that repeated communications to the appellants have yielded no response. Thus, he submits that let the appeal be dismissed for non-prosecution with a liberty to revive it in case any dispute, interest or cause of action still survives, for its decision on merits.

Dismissed for non-prosecution with the liberty as prayed for.

February 12, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge

