

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 12053 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 23.07.2015.**

Pawan Kumar

..... Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NAVITA SINGH

Present:- Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S. Pannu, DAG, Punjab, for the respondent.

Ms. G.K. Mann, Advocate, for the complainant.

NAVITA SINGH, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been moved by the petitioner under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking regular bail in case FIR No. 10 dated 22.02.2011, under Sections 302, 382, 120-B, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code of Police Station Purana Shala, District Gurdaspur.

It is submitted by the State counsel that the evidence is to be recorded before the trial Court today by way of cross-examination of three witness whose examination-in-chief already stands recorded. Thereafter, only the investigating officer will have to be examined.

Since trial is nearing completion, it is felt that a direction to the trial Court to conclude the trial by an early date would suffice.

In view of the above, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the trial Court to examine the investigating officer and any other witness, if remains to be examined by 14.08.2015 and, thereafter,

conclude the trial by giving opportunity for defence evidence by 20.09.2015, as it is submitted by counsel for the petitioner that one month's time would be required for defence evidence.

However, if the trial is not concluded within the month of September even if adjournment is given for unanticipated circumstances, the petitioner shall be at liberty to approach this Court for bail. The petitioner shall not in any manner, delay the proceedings in view of the liberty granted.

(NAVITA SINGH)
JUDGE

23.07.2015
Ramesh