

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

CRM No.M-11896 of 2015
Date of Decision : 28.9.2015

Davinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

...

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

...

Present : Mr. Fateh Jeet Singh, Advocate for Mr. Ranjan Lakhanpal,
Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Anmol Grewal, AAG, Punjab.
Mr. Ashish Yadav, Addl. A.G. Haryana.
Mr. J.S. Toor, Advocate for respondents no.6 to 8.
Mr. K.S. Khehar, Advocate for respondent no.10.

...

1. To be referred to the Reporter or not ?
2. Whether the judgement should be reported in Digest ?

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J

Short reply by way of affidavit dated 24.9.2015 filed on behalf of respondents no.2, 5 and 9 in court today, is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

Petitioner, by way of present petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure ('Cr.P.C'. for short) seeks appropriate direction to the respondents that no case should be registered against the petitioner and his wife Smt.Kricpy Khara unless the same is verified by the Director General of Police,Punjab, and in case, if at all, any case is registered against them, 7 days advance notice be given and further investigation of the case be handed over to

some independent agency.

Notice of motion was issued and pursuant thereto, reply has been filed.

Learned counsel for the State of Punjab submits that identical petition filed by Smt.Kricpy Khera wife of the petitioner bearing CRM No.M-2690 of 2015 was disposed of by this court, vide order dated 16.9.2015 and present one is an identical one. She further submits that in view of the fact that one FIR has already been registered, present petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may be disposed of, as such.

Faced with the above, learned counsel for the petitioner also fairly states that let the present petition be disposed of, but liberty may be granted to the petitioner to pursue his remedy, in accordance with law, as and when necessity arises.

In view of the above, instant petition is disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous, however, with liberty as prayed for.

28.9.2015
GS

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE