

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

227

CRM-M-11884 of 2015

Decided on : 03.07.2015

Jagdish Chander and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present : Mr.Ajay Jain, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr.Sulinder Kumar, AAG, Haryana.
Mr.R.K.Gupta, Advocate for the respondents.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Hari Pal Verma, J. (Oral)

Pursuant to the order dated 01.07.2015, learned counsel for respondents No.3 and 4 has placed on record a copy of affidavit dated 2.7.2015 of Dhoop Dass-respondent No.3, copy of affidavit given to Mr.Ajay Jain, learned counsel for the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has perused the affidavit so furnished and submits that he would produce the certified copy of statement of Dhoop Dass-respondent No.3 made in civil suit titled as "Peeru Ram etc. Vs. Hanuman Mandir etc." under Section 92 of the CPC (Annexure P/15). Dhoop Dass has appeared as DW-16.

In view of the affidavit so filed by Dhoop Dass-respondent No.3 in Court, today, the statement of Dhoop Dass shall be exhibited and shall be made part of record in the proceedings under Section 145, Cr.P.C. before the Sub Divisional Magistrate, Hisar.

In the light of the aforesaid facts, the present petition is disposed.

[Hari Pal Verma]
Judge

03.07.2015
sd