

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 11883 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 10.04.2015

Satnam Singh and another

..... Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NAVITA SINGH

Present:- Mrs. Suresh Saran, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. K.S. Pannu, DAG, Punjab, for respondents No. 1 to 3.

NAVITA SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Notice of motion to Advocate General, Punjab. Mr. K.S. Pannu, DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

Petitioners, Satnam Singh and Ramandeep Kaur, have moved this Court seeking protection on the ground that they have married on 07.04.2015 against the wishes of their parents and relatives.

There is copy of matriculation certificate of petitioner – Satnam Singh in which his date of birth is given as 21.03.1992, whereas there is copy of voter card of petitioner – Ramandeep Kaur showing her date of birth as 06.07.1990.

It is submitted by counsel for the petitioners that other documents relating to proof of birth are with the family of petitioner –

Ramandeep Kaur and she is unable to rely on those. Both the petitioners are present and it is clear from their appearance that they are not less than 21 and 18 years in age respectively.

Counsel for the petitioners has stated that the petitioners had moved a representation (Annexure P-4) before the Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran, to seek protection, but no action has been taken by the police.

In this view of the matter, Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran, is directed to look into the representation (Annexure P-4) and if there is any substance in it, may proceed further, in accordance with law.

The present order, however, shall not be deemed to be proof qua the factum/validity of their matrimonial alliance.

(NAVITA SINGH)
JUDGE

10.04.2015
Ramesh