

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

138

CRM-M-11811 of 2015

Decided on : 10.04.2015

Bhupinder Sain

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present : Mr.Mandeep Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Hari Pal Verma, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.121, dated 15.11.2014 under Sections 498-A/406, IPC, registered at police Station Mehtiana, District Hoshiarpur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that no demand of dowry articles has been made in the marriage and nothing is required to be recovered from the petitioner. The petitioner is working in Italy.

A bare perusal of the FIR shows that there are specific allegations against the petitioner with regard to dowry articles. Therefore, contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is contrary to the contents of the FIR. It has been referred in the order dated 25.03.2015 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge,

Hoshiarpur, wherein the complainant has stated that when she had gone to effect recovery of the dowry articles, she was locked in the room. The petitioner is husband of the complainant and specific allegations have been levelled against him for taking dowry articles in the marriage.

No ground for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made out.

Dismissed.

[Hari Pal Verma]
Judge

10.04.2015
sd