

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

243

CRM-M-11742 of 2015

Decided on : 24.04.2015

Jaspal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present : Mr.Kuldeep V. Singh Ahluwalia, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr.R.S.Nain, AAG, Punjab.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Hari Pal Verma, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.266, dated 10.10.2014, under Sections 406, 420 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Tanda, District Hoshiarpur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is in custody since 12.01.2015 and the trial of the case will take considerable time. If the petitioner is released on bail, he will not interfere with the trial or tamper with evidence in any manner, as the police has submitted the challan and the charges have been framed against him. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody.

Learned counsel for the petitioner relies upon 2011(6)

Recent Apex Judgments (R.A.J.) 191 titled as "Sanjay Chandra Vs. CBI" to contend that when investigation is complete and charges have been framed, no reason to detain the accused in jail when trial was likely to take considerable time.

Learned State counsel on instructions from Head-Constable Dilbagh Singh, does not dispute the custody period of the petitioner.

Since the trial will take considerable time, the petitioner is admitted to regular bail subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court, Hoshiarpur.

[Hari Pal Verma]
Judge

24.04.2015
sd