

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-11726 of 2015  
Date of Decision:-02.12.2015**

Kuljit Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA**

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Kamaljit Singh Sidhu, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, Deputy A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate  
for respondent No.2.

**HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)**

Prayer in the present petition is for quashing of FIR No.119 dated 5.8.2014, under Sections 365, 308, 333, 353 IPC, registered at Police Station Shimlapuri, District Ludhiana (Annexure P-1) alongwith all consequential proceedings on the basis of compromise deed dated 30.3.2015 (Annexure P-2) and affidavit of the complainant (Annexure P-3).

Vide order dated 18.9.2015, this Court has directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court to get their

statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to forward a report about the genuineness of the compromise to this Court.

In compliance of the order dated 18.9.2015, the parties have appeared before the learned Magistrate on 6.10.2015 for getting their statements recorded.

The report dated 6.10.2015 received from the learned Additional District & Sessions Judge, Ludhiana, reveals that the compromise has been effected between the parties without any undue pressure.

The statement made by complainant Soban Singh before the Additional Sessions Judge, Ludhiana, on 6.10.2015 reads as under :-

“I have compromise the matter with accused Kuljit Singh, Rajinder Singh, Nirminderpreet Singh and Ranjeet Singh vide compromise dated 30.03.2015. We will not pursue or initiate any civil criminal case or claim for any damage in future in this case. All the disputes have been settled. I identify my signatures on compromise. We have entered the compromise with free will and without any pressure from any side. Copy of compromise is Ex.P-X. I have no objection if FIR in this case is quashed and accused are acquitted.”

Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2 does not dispute the fact of compromise effected between the parties.

Learned State counsel on instructions from the Investigating Officer does not dispute the aforesaid factual position, rather admit the

factum of compromise effected between the parties.

Heard.

Since the parties have entered into a compromise and learned Magistrate has recorded their statements followed by his report, continuation of the trial arising out from the impugned FIR and consequential proceedings would be sheer abuse of the process of law since chances of the conviction are bleak.

Keeping in view the factum of compromise effected between the parties and the law laid down by this Court in the case of **Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052 (P & H)** and **Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and others, (2012) 10 SCC 303** this petition is accepted and FIR No.119 dated 5.8.2014, under Sections 365, 308, 333, 353 IPC, registered at Police Station Shimlapuri, District Ludhiana and the consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua petitioners.

The petition is disposed of.

December 02, 2015  
*Vijay Asija*

( **HARI PAL VERMA** )  
**JUDGE**