

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-11673-2015 (O & M)
Date of decision:28.04.2015

Amandeep Kaur @ Aman

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Saurabh Malik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

Rajan Gupta, J. (oral)

This is a petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in a case registered against her vide FIR No.29 dated 11.03.2015 under Sections 384/385 and 388 IPC at Police Station Kotwali, Nabha, District Patiala.

Learned counsel for petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated as there is nothing on record to show that petitioner was involved in the incident.

Prayer has been opposed by learned State counsel. According to her, pre-arrest bail application of similarly placed accused Jasvir Kaur has already been rejected by this court. I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Case was registered on the statement of Rupinder Singh, who stated that on March 06, 2015, Bikkar Singh alias Laadi who was already known to him, met him. He took the complainant alongwith him on the plea that there was some urgent work. When they reached the house, two persons were already sitting there. All

these persons took off clothes of petitioner. At that time, petitioner also came there and an objectionable CD of petitioner was made. They later threatened that if the complainant would not part ₹2 lacs with them, they would upload the same on the net/whatsapp. They also took away ₹17,000/-, ATM card and gold ring of the complainant.

In view of serious nature of allegations, I am of the considered view that petitioner is not entitled to discretionary relief of anticipatory bail.

Dismissed.

28.04.2015
sukhpreet

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE