

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-11714 of 2014

Date of decision : July 09, 2015

Bacchu Singh

... Petitioner

vs.

Santosh Kumar and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

Present: Mr. Vikas Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Surinder Gupta, J

Heard.

The petitioner filed complaint for offence punishable under Sections 420/452/467/468/506/352/34 IPC with the allegations that an agreement to sell dated 11.6.2007 was entered into between the parties for sale consideration of ₹3,63,000/- with regard to plot measuring 110 sq. yds. Earnest money of ₹2,30,000/- was paid and the date for execution of sale deed was fixed as 11.8.2007. There was a ban of registration of sale deed and another sum of ₹10,000/- was paid to the respondent and the balance amount of ₹1,23,000/- was paid on 10.10.2007. On that day, the accused snatched the original agreement from the complainant and threatened him with dire consequences. Thereafter, the petitioner issued legal notice and on receipt of the same, the accused along with some other persons came to the house of complainant and asked him to vacate the same.

Learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Faridabad after recording preliminary evidence dismissed the complaint holding that the dispute is of civil nature. The petitioner filed revision petition before the Sessions Judge, Faridabad, which was also dismissed vide order dated 13.1.2014.

On perusal of the complaint and the orders of the courts below, I find that both the courts below have committed no error of law and fact while dismissing the complaint, calling for interference by this Court.

This petition has no merits and is dismissed.

(Surinder Gupta)
Judge

July 09, 2015

deepak