

1. CRM-M-11689-2014
2. CRM-M-11685-2014

[1]

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh.

CRM-M-11689-2014
Date of Decision: 21.09.2015

Amarjit Singh @ Ajitpal Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CRM-M-11685-2014
Date of Decision: 21.09.2015

Rajdeep Singh @ Raju and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. Aayush Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-11689-2014
and for respondent No.2 in CRM-M-11685-2014.

Raghav Sharma, Advocate, for
Mr. Navmohit Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners in CRM-M-11685-2014
and for respondent No.2 in CRM-M-11689-2014.

Mr. A.S. Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

SABINA, J.

Vide this order, above mentioned two petitions

1. CRM-M-11689-2014
2. CRM-M-11685-2014

[2]

would be disposed of as the petitioners have sought quashing of the FIR No.121 dated 27.08.2010, under Sections 452, 324, 323, 506, 427, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC' for short) and its cross version registered vide DDR No.17, dated 13.09.2010, under Sections 452, 323, 506, 427, 148 and 149 IPC, registered at Police Station Dehlon, Ludhiana, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise.

Learned counsel for the petitioners have submitted that its a case of version and cross version, however now parties have amicably settled their dispute.

Vide orders dated 03.04.2014/24.03.2015, the trial Court was directed to record the statements of the parties and send its report with regard to genuineness of the compromise effected between the parties.

In pursuance to the said order, the trial Court, after recording the statements of the parties, has reported that the compromise effected between the parties was genuine, without pressure and coercion.

As per the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, High Court has power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to allow the compounding of non-compoundable

1. CRM-M-11689-2014
2. CRM-M-11685-2014

[3]

offence and quash the prosecution where the High Court felt that the same was required to prevent the abuse of the process of any Court or to otherwise secure the ends of justice. This power of quashing is not confined to matrimonial disputes alone.

Hon'ble the Apex Court in the case of **Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and another** 2012 (4) RCR (Crl.) 543, has held as under:-

“57. The position that emerges from the above discussion can be summarised thus: the power of the High Court in quashing a criminal proceeding or FIR or complaint in exercise of its inherent jurisdiction is distinct and different from the power given to a criminal court for compounding the offences under Section 320 of the Code. Inherent power is of wide plenitude with no statutory limitation but it has to be exercised in accord with the guideline engrafted in such power viz; (i) to secure the ends of justice or (ii) to prevent abuse of the process of any Court. In what cases power to quash the criminal proceeding or complaint or F.I.R may be exercised where the offender and victim have settled their dispute would depend on the facts and circumstances of each case and no category can be prescribed. However, before exercise of such power, the High Court must have due regard to the nature and gravity of the crime. Heinous and serious offences of mental depravity or offences like murder, rape, dacoity, etc. cannot be fittingly quashed even though the victim or victim's family and the offender have settled the dispute. Such offences are not private in nature and have serious impact on society. Similarly, any compromise between the victim and offender in relation to the offences under special statutes like Prevention of Corruption Act or the offences committed by public servants while working in that capacity etc; cannot

1. CRM-M-11689-2014
2. CRM-M-11685-2014

[4]

provide for any basis for quashing criminal proceedings involving such offences. But the criminal cases having overwhelmingly and pre-dominantly civil flavour stand on different footing for the purposes of quashing, particularly the offences arising from commercial, financial, mercantile, civil, partnership or such like transactions or the offences arising out of matrimony relating to dowry, etc. or the family disputes where the wrong is basically private or personal in nature and the parties have resolved their entire dispute. In this category of cases, High Court may quash criminal proceedings if in its view, because of the compromise between the offender and victim, the possibility of conviction is remote and bleak and continuation of criminal case would put accused to great oppression and prejudice and extreme injustice would be caused to him by not quashing the criminal case despite full and complete settlement and compromise with the victim. In other words, the High Court must consider whether it would be unfair or contrary to the interest of justice to continue with the criminal proceeding or continuation of the criminal proceeding would tantamount to abuse of process of law despite settlement and compromise between the victim and wrongdoer and whether to secure the ends of justice, it is appropriate that criminal case is put to an end and if the answer to the above question(s) is in affirmative, the High Court shall be well within its jurisdiction to quash the criminal proceeding.”

Since the parties have arrived at a compromise and have decided to live in peace, no useful purpose would be served in allowing the criminal proceedings to continue.

Accordingly, these petitions are allowed. FIR No.121 dated 27.08.2010, under Sections 452, 324, 323, 506, 427, 148 and 149 IPC and its cross version registered vide DDR

1. CRM-M-11689-2014
2. CRM-M-11685-2014

[5]

No.17, dated 13.09.2010, under Sections 452, 323, 506, 427, 148 and 149 IPC, registered at Police Station Dehlon, Ludhiana and all the consequential proceedings, arising therefrom, are quashed.

September 21, 2015
kapil

(SABINA)
JUDGE