

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRL. MISC. No.M-11576 OF 2015
DATE OF DECISION : 28th SEPTEMBER, 2015**

Tejinder Singh & others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

* * * *

Present : Mr. P.S. Brar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. K. S. Aulakh, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Surinder Garg, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 5.

* * * *

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition is for quashing of DDR No.39 dated 21.08.2013 under Sections 452, 325, 323, 324, 427, 506, 148 and 149 in FIR No.244 dated 21.08.2013 registered under Sections 307 IPC read with Section 34 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, Police Station Kotwali Faridkot, District Faridkot and the consequent proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-3) arrived at between the parties.

Report has been received from the CJM, Faridkot after statements of the parties were recorded regarding the compromise. The CJM, Faridkot has reported that the compromise is voluntary and without any pressure or coercion.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions submits that petitioners are the only accused and respondents no.2 to 5 are the only aggrieved person in this FIR.

No useful purpose would be served to keep the FIR pending.

In view of the report of the CJM, Faridkot and the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052,** approved by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303,** the instant petition is allowed and the aforesaid FIR and all consequent proceedings conducted on the basis thereof are quashed.

Needless to say that parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements made in the Court below.

28th September, 2015
'raj'

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE