

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.11061 of 2003
Date of decision: 02.03.2015

Guretej Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Madhav Pokhrel, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Rajeev Mishra, Addl. AG, Punjab.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

An affidavit dated 02.03.2015, of Director Public Instructions (Secondary Education) Punjab, on behalf of respondents No.1 & 2, has been filed in court, and the same is taken on record. Copy supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

It is maintained, that in terms of the order dated 11.02.2015, petitioner has since been offered an appointment to the post of D.P.E. Mistress, vide office order No.20/36-2015 Estt-2(6), dated 18.02.2015 (Annexure R1), nothing substantive survives in this petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the wake of the affidavit, filed in court, the instant petition be dismissed as having become infructuous.

Ordered, accordingly.

March 2, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge