

1
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-16042 of 2014 and
CRM-M-17462 of 2009
Dated of Decision:-26.03.2015**

Maqsood and others Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MS.JUSTICE NAVITA SINGH

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ashok Kaushik, Advocate
for the respondent No. 2.

NAVITA SINGH, J (ORAL).

.

There is written request filed on behalf of petitioners. On the last date also there was written request and the position was the same on the date prior to that. Counsel for the petitioners did not appear after notice of motion was issued.

It is submitted by counsel for respondent No. 2 i.e. complainant that no compromise was arrived at between the parties and the petitioners wrongly sought quashing of the FIR in question on the basis of some compromise.

State counsel submits that all the petitioners were declared proclaimed offenders and they have not yet been traced and arrested.

Since the petitioners are proclaimed offenders, they will, even

**CRM-16042 of 2014 and
CRM-M-17462 of 2009**

otherwise, prima facie, be not given benefit of compromise.

In any case, the complainant submits that there was no settlement made.

In view of the above, the misc. application as also the main petition are dismissed.

**[NAVITA SINGH]
JUDGE**

26.03.2015
amit rana