

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 11239 of 2015(O&M)
Date of decision : September 01, 2015

Vashu,

..... Petitioner

v.

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Ashwani Talwar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana

1. **Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?**

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in case FIR No.77, dated 26.1.2013, registered under Sections 364-A, 387 of the IPC, at Police Station City Panipat.

The allegations are of kidnapping for ransom. Counsel for the petitioner has argued that the petitioner has now been in custody for one year and two months and that there are no prospects of the trial being concluded in the near future.

Learned DAG Haryana, on instructions from ASI Vajinder Singh, states that six main witnesses have already been examined and only 11 remain. As per her, keeping in view the gravity of the offence, it would

be more appropriate to put a time cap on the trial. She undertakes that the prosecution will lead its entire evidence within four months.

I find this to be a fair suggestion. In the circumstances, this petition is dismissed and it is directed that in case the prosecution does not conclude its entire evidence on or before 24.12.2015, subject to the accused not obstructing the same, the trial Court shall release the petitioner on bail to its satisfaction.

September 01, 2015
`kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE