

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 11120 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 08.04.2015

Usha Rani and another

..... Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NAVITA SINGH

Present:- Mrs. Suresh Saran, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. K.S. Pannu, DAG, Punjab, for respondents No. 1 to 3.

NAVITA SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Notice of motion to Advocate General, Punjab. Mr. K.S. Pannu, DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

Petitioners, Usha Rani and Raj Kumar, have moved this Court seeking protection on the ground that they have married on 15.03.2015 against the wishes of their parents and relatives.

Regarding date of birth, there is a certificate of Middle Standard Examinations from the Punjab School Education Board relating to petitioner Usha Rani where her date of birth is mentioned as 05.08.1983, whereas regarding Raj Kumar, there is his affidavit in which date of birth is mentioned as 26.02.1986. The Court is not insisting regarding proof of document of Raj Kumar as from his appearance, it is clear that he cannot be

less than 21 years of age.

Counsel for the petitioners has stated that the petitioners had moved a representation (Annexure P-5) before the Commissioner of Police, Patiala, to seek protection, but no action has been taken by the police.

In this view of the matter, Commissioner of Police, Patiala, is directed to look into the representation (Annexure P-5) and if there is any substance in it, may proceed further, in accordance with law.

The present order, however, shall not be deemed to be proof qua the factum/validity of their matrimonial alliance.

(NAVITA SINGH)
JUDGE

08.04.2015
Ramesh