

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No. M-11051 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : April 09,2015**

Sanjay Madan

... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Balraj Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANITA CHAUDHRY , J(ORAL)

Through the instant petition, the petitioner is seeking directions to official respondent No.3 and 4 to protect his life and liberty and his family, which is stated to be under imminent danger from respondent Nos. 5 to 10.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that representations, Annexures P-3 and P-4 were made in this regard to respondent Nos.3 and 2 respectively, but no action has been taken thereupon. He submits that the petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the official respondents to decide the representation.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to look into the representation (Annexure P-3) and decide the same in accordance with law.

**April 09, 2015
Jiten**

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**