

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-11046 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 30.4.2015

Naresh Kumar

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

--Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Sagar Deswal, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J

The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C seeking concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is supported by the documents which are not legible. Office report would show that objection was specifically raised and yet counsel chose to re-file the petition with the remarks “**Place it before the bench as it is.**” The petition, as such, cannot be entertained.

At this stage, counsel prays for withdrawal of the petition with liberty to file a petition afresh on the same cause of action with legible and better documents placed on record.

Prayer is allowed.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty, as prayed for.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

April 30, 2015
lucky