

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.10951 of 2015

Date of Decision: April 21, 2015

Geetika and anr.

...Petitioners

Versus

UT Chandigarh and ors.

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

Present: Mr. P.P.S. Doabia, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. A.S. Sullar, Advocate
for UT, Chandigarh.

Mr. Ashwani Verma, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

Paramjeet Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner after seeking instructions from the petitioners states that they do not require any protection. Counsel for the UT, Chandigarh also states that they have recorded the statements of the petitioners that they do not require protection.

In view of the above, the present petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

April 21, 2015
prince

(Paramjeet Singh)
Judge